## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

\_\_\_\_\_

Ex parte MAKOTO TORIZUKA,
HIROHISA SUZUKI, KANA FUJIWARA,
TAKASHI ODA, NOBUSHIGE TANAKA and KATSUHIKO RINDO

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal No. 99-0389 Application 08/709,218<sup>1</sup>

\_\_\_\_\_

## ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_

On October 27, 1998, a Reply Brief (Paper No. 13) was filed in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed August 27, 1998 (Paper No. 12). However, there is no indication in the record of whether or not the examiner has considered the Reply Brief. Section 1.193(b)(1) of the Code of Federal Regulations (1998) states:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application for patent filed September 6, 1996.

(b)(1) Appellant may file a reply brief to an examiner's answer within two months from

the date of such examiner's answer. . . . The primary examiner must either acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief or withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief.

In addition, an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed June 11, 1999 (Paper No. 14) and has been matched with this application at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. The IDS needs to be considered by the Primary Examiner with respect to compliance with the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying appellants of the Primary Examiner's decision is required.

Finally, a further examination of the file reveals that an amendment filed February 11, 1998 (Paper No. 8) was not properly entered. Title 37 CFR § 1.122(a) (1997) states:

Amendments are "entered" by the Office by making the proposed deletions by drawing a line in red ink through the word or words cancelled, and by making the

Appeal No. 99-0389 Application 08/709,218

proposed substitutions or insertions in red ink, small insertions being written in at the designated place and larger insertions being indicated by reference.

The current entry of the amendment does not comply with  $37\ \text{CFR}\ \S\ 1.122(a)$  .

Appeal No. 99-0389 Application 08/709,218

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner:

- for proper response to the Reply Brief filed
   October 27, 1998 (Paper No. 13);
- for consideration of the IDS filed June 11, 1999
   (Paper No. 14), including appropriate notification to appellants;
- 3. for proper entry of the amendment filed February 11, 1998 (Paper No. 8); and
  - 4. for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

By:					
	DALE SHAW				
	Progr	cam	and	Resource	Administrator
	(703) 308-9797				

Appeal No. 99-0389 Application 08/709,218

DS:psb

cc: Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier and Neustadt

Fourth Floor

1755 Jefferson Davis Highway

Arlington, VA 22202